## 2019 ENVIRONMENTAL HEALTH CATEGORY PROMOTION BENCHMARKS

## PY 2019 Guidance Regarding Promotion Precepts and Benchmarks for Commissioned Corps Officers

Officers competing for promotion are rated on the five Promotion Precepts described in the Commissioned Corps Instruction 331.01 "Permanent Grade Promotions", and 332.01 "Temporary Grade Promotions", and noted below. To assist officers in better understanding the Promotion Precepts, the Precepts are described in terms of Factors. Each Factor has a Benchmark, which is a level of achievement for the officer given the category and grade.

The purpose of this Guidance is to inform officers and promotion boards of the levels of achievement per Promotion Precept generally considered to describe the "best qualified" officer for a specific category at a specific grade. This document can also benefit the officer in setting some personal long term goals for his or her career advancement.

The Chief Professional Officers (CPO) and Professional Advisory Committee (PAC) Chairs, in consultation with their constituent category members, revise the Guidance annually to reflect the ever changing missions and policies of the Corps. All five Promotion Precepts are identical for all categories, as are the Benchmarks for Promotion Precepts 1, 4 and 5. The Benchmarks for Promotion Precepts 2 and 3 are category-specific.

The benchmarks for Precepts 1 – 5 are levels of achievement and/or standards of excellence that describe the "best-qualified" officer. They serve as a basis by which officers can be measured within each category. No officer is expected to meet all the standards for Precepts 1 - 5. Many promoted officers will have achievements that exceed the factors for one or two precepts, but may not meet all the factors for others. Therefore these Benchmarks should not be considered a checklist of activities that must be completed in order to be promoted. Quality and impact of an officer's service is far more important than the quantity of activities in which they participate.

The individual factors within each Precept are not listed in priority order. The importance of each factor is left to the discretion of the Promotion Boards. The members of the Promotion Boards review the service records of each officer under consideration for promotion and each assigns a score for the specific Promotion Precept. Promotion Board members exercise their professional judgment and discretion in the review and rating of each record.

There is no time period that limits which of the officer's activities and accomplishments are eligible for consideration. However, activities and accomplishments subsequent to an officer's last promotion should receive priority consideration.

The Promotion Precepts are weighted as follows:

Performance Rating and Reviewing Official's	40%
Statement (Performance)	40%
2. Education, training, and professional development	20%
3. Career progression and potential	25%
4. Professional contributions and services to the PHS	15%
Commissioned Corps (Officership)	1070
5. Basic Readiness	***0%

## \*\*\*IMPORTANT NOTE\*\*\*

Although the Readiness precept no longer carries any weight with regard to numerical score for promotion, basic readiness remains one of the several administrative checks for promotion. Officers in a "not ready" status at the 31 Dec Readiness and Deployment Operations Group (RedDOG) status report prior to the promotion year will receive an automatic Board Not Recommend. In addition, officers in a "not ready" status at the subsequent 31 March RedDOG status report, who were otherwise successful, will be removed from the successful list. Officers are advised to maintain basic readiness at all times.

Promotion Board members examine many documents in the officer's electronic Official Personnel Folder (eOPF) during the promotion review. Examples of these documents include, but are not limited to: Commissioned Officers' Effectiveness Report (COER); Promotion Information Report (PIR); curriculum vitae (CV); the Officer's and Reviewing Official's Statements; award narratives; and letters of appreciation. The most recent COERs (e.g., the last 3-5 years) are generally given the most consideration by Promotion Board members, although earlier COERs may also be reviewed.

Career development resources (e.g., CV) reviews, mentoring, internet training tools, career development seminars, fellow officers serving in similar roles, etc.) provided by the PACs, agency liaisons, Division of Commissioned Corps Personnel and Readiness (DCCPR), and the CPOs should be explored and fully utilized by all officers.

The Benchmarks will change as the Commissioned Corps continues to evolve. Any comments or suggestions that you have on the Benchmarks may be submitted to your PAC Chair, and will be carefully considered for incorporation into the next annual revision.

## PY 2019 FACTORS and BENCHMARKS FOR PROMOTION PRECEPTS

1. Performance Rating and Reviewing Official's Statement (Performance)				
Factor	Benchmarks P-O2	Benchmarks T-O4/P-O3	Benchmarks T-O5/P-O4	Benchmarks T-O6/P-O5/P-O6
Commissioned Officers' Effectiveness Report (COER)  Based on information contained in the Officer's Statement, separate from the Reviewing Official's Statement, the officer will be rated on promotion readiness as it relates to:  Progression of responsibility Achievement and contributions to the	The primary focus in reviewing the COER should be on the accompanying narrative rather than on the indicated value.  Secondary assessment will include a review of the COER score, in the context of the officer's performance trends.  Guidance provided as needed/requested to complete assignments of	The primary focus in reviewing the COER should be on the accompanying narrative rather than on the indicated value.  Secondary assessment will include a review of the COER score, in the context of the officer's performance trends.  Guidance provided as needed/requested to complete assignments of	The primary focus in reviewing the COER should be on the accompanying narrative rather than on the indicated value.  Secondary assessment will include a review of the COER score, in the context of the officer's performance trends.  Evidence of independent performance of complex tasks requiring developed	The primary focus in reviewing the COER should be on the accompanying narrative rather than on the indicated value.  Secondary assessment will include a review of the COER score, in the context of the officer's performance trends.  Independent initiative, evidenced by development, oversight, coordination
agency mission  Personal accountability for developing skills and leadership effectiveness	moderate complexity and impact. Skill development reflects potential for leadership and willingness/ability to assume increasing levels of responsibility.	moderate complexity and impact. Skill development reflects potential for leadership and willingness/ability to assume increasing levels of responsibility.	proficiency and higher responsibility with positive impact on the program. Demonstrated leadership of program teams or projects.  Completes assigned duty-related mandatory training	and/or leadership of projects of exceptional difficulty with an expected level of expertise. Assumption of overall personal accountability for the involved program or project.
	Completes assigned duty- related mandatory training and elective training to complement mandatory training.	Completes assigned duty- related mandatory training and elective training to complement mandatory training.	and elective training to complement mandatory training.  Supporting information that professional development	Completes assigned duty- related mandatory training and elective training to complement mandatory training.
	Supporting information that professional development contributes to the agency missions.	Supporting information that professional development contributes to the agency missions.	contributes to the agency missions.  The officer demonstrates they efficiently and effectively	Supporting information that professional development contributes to the agency missions.
	The officer demonstrates they efficiently and effectively work at their current grade.	The officer demonstrates they efficiently and effectively work at a higher level than their current grade.	work at a higher level than their current grade.	The officer demonstrates they efficiently and effectively work at a higher level than their current grade and should currently occupy an O-6 billet.

	1. Performance Rating and Reviewing Official's Statement (Performance)				
Factor	Benchmarks P-O2	Benchmarks T-O4/P-O3	Benchmarks T-O5/P-O4	Benchmarks T-O6/P-O5/P-O6	
Award History**  Progression of awards, relevance to mission, quality, as well as quantity, across the career is assessed:  • PHS Individual and Unit Honor Awards (e.g., PHS Citation Medal, Outstanding Service Medal, Unit Commendation)  • Other Awards and Recognition  • PHS Service Awards (e.g., Isolated Hardship Service Award, Special Assignment Service Award)	There should be a record of awards across the career. Officers should strive for increasing impacts at the local level, including team or unit participation, which may result in individual or unit awards (e.g., a PHS Citation Medal or Unit Commendation).  Division, Institute, and Agency (including non-DHHS agencies), and professional organization awards, and recognition such as letters of commendation.  Service should clearly reflect the impact(s) that evolve from responsibility and performance of the officer.	There should be a record of awards across the career. Officers should strive for increasing impacts at the local level, including team or unit participation, which may result in individual or unit awards (e.g., an Achievement Medal or Unit Commendation).  Division, Institute, and Agency (including non-DHHS agencies), and professional organization awards, and recognition such as letters of commendation.  Service should clearly reflect the impact(s) that evolve from responsibility and performance of the officer.	There should be a record of awards across the career. Officers should strive for increasing impacts at the regional level which may result in progressively higher individual awards or unit recognition (e.g., a Commendation Medal or Unit Commendation).  Division, Institute, and Agency (including non-DHHS agencies), and professional organization awards, and recognition such as letters of commendation.  Service should clearly reflect the impact(s) that evolve from responsibility and performance of the officer.	There should be a record of awards across the career. Officers should strive for increasing impacts at the regional, national or international level which may result in progressively higher individual awards or unit recognition (e.g., an Outstanding Service Medal or Outstanding Unit Citation).  Division, Institute, and Agency (including non-DHHS agencies), and professional organization awards, and recognition such as letters of commendation.  Service should clearly reflect the impact(s) that evolve from responsibility and	
Reviewing Official's Assessment for Promotion Readiness	Exhibits Leadership Qualities.  Recognizing junior officers	Exhibits Leadership Qualities.  Recognizing junior officers	Demonstrates Leadership Skills.  Recognizing exceptional	performance of the officer.  Accomplished Leadership Role.  Recognizing leaders who	
Based on information contained in the Reviewing Official's Statement (separate from the Officer's Statement), the officer will be rated on promotion readiness as it relates to:  • Current Leadership Role in Command/Agency • Progression of Leadership Potential • Contribution to the Agency Missions	with the potential and inspiration to influence.  For example: As assessed in ROS, candidate excels:  a) In attributes that serve the	with the potential and inspiration to influence.  For example: As assessed in ROS, candidate excels:  a) In attributes that serve the leadership in a group, team, committee, or branch work and with the potential for team leadership or management role  and/or	personal leadership skill and significant potential or competence as a leader or manager.  For example: As assessed in ROS, candidate excels:  a) In the contributions to and support of a management, supervisory, technical or clinical expert and/or program leadership role  and/or	have moved into key leadership roles and have a proven record of influence and achievement (e.g., Subject Matter Expert, Program Chief/Director or equivalent).  For example: As assessed in ROS, candidate excels:  a) In an executive, senior management, expert, and/or special advisory/consultant position	

Factor Benchn P-O	rks Benchmarks	Benchmarks	Benchmarks
	T-O4/P-O3	T-O5/P-O4	T-06/P-05/P-06
b) As a member force or similar of above, the local Branch or Division Additional attribution and the simulation of the communication of the presentations the increasing imparabove, the local Branch, or Division of the control of t	b) As a member of a task force or similar group at, or above, the local or regional Branch or Division level  Additional attributes may include:  a) Authorship of publications or other written communication or oral presentations that strive for increasing impact (e.g., at, or above, the local/ regional	b) As a member or leader of a task force or similar group at, or above, the local or regional Agency level  Additional attributes <i>may</i> include:  a) Primary or secondary authorship of publications or other written communication	and/or  b) As a leader of a task force or a similar group at either the regional, national or international Agency level  Additional attributes may include:  a) Primary or secondary authorship of publications or other written communication or oral presentations that strive for increasing impact (e.g., at either the regional, national or international Agency level)  Evidence that career duties and collateral activities contribute to visibility and

<sup>\*</sup> Temporary O2 and O3 promotions for all categories and Temporary O4 promotions for the Medical and Dental Categories are determined by an administrative file review as outlined in CCI 332.01; officers are encouraged to use the Factors and Benchmarks listed for T-O4/P-O2 and O3 for career development purposes.

<sup>\*\*</sup> Please refer to CCI 511.01 Awards Program for a description of the Honor and Service Awards.

2. Education, Training and Professional Development					
Factor	Benchmarks T-O3/P-O2	Benchmarks T-O4/P-O3	Benchmarks T-O5/P-O4	Benchmarks T-O6/P-O5/P-O6	
Degrees	Environmental Health, Indu Preparedness and Respons doctorate degree).	strial Hygiene, Information Syst se (MBA, MPA, MS, MPH or co	Bachelor's Degree.  For officers with accredited bachelor's degree, has initiated the process and is pursuing an advanced degree from a regionally or nationally accredited institution that contributes to current or future PHS assignments.  mited to: Public Health, Health Catems and Technology, Health Phymparable master's degree; PhD, ion of the agency and/or PHS. The	ysics, Emergency DrPH, or comparable	
Certifications, Credentialing, and Licensure	Pursuing professional environmental health credential (REHS/RS) or industrial hygiene, safety, or health physics certification (CIH, CSP, or CHP).  If officer has more than one of the above credentials, it will satisfy the additional credential requirement below.  Officer is also pursuing an additional professional certification or graduate certificate.  • Examples of additional crede • Certifications can include, be Seafood, Blood Banks or D hold value to advance the re- For additional information as	out are not limited to: an agency rugs), NIMS SOFR Type I, 24- nission of the agency and/or Ph nd clarification of sub-discipline	Current professional environmental health credential (REHS/RS) or industrial hygiene, safety, or health physics certification (CIH, CSP, or CHP).  If officer has more than one of the above credentials, it will satisfy the additional credential requirement below.  Officer also has an additional professional certification or graduate certificate.	certification in Medical Devices, ditional certifications should n the COER, OS and/or CV. chmark 2' Supplemental	

2. Education, Training and Professional Development					
Factor	Benchmarks T-O3/P-O2	Benchmarks T-O4/P-O3	Benchmarks T-O5/P-O4	Benchmarks T-O6/P-O5/P-O6	
Public and Environmental Health Training	Course work, continuing education, or training experiences in environmental health or related to job, that contributes to current or future PHS assignments.	Course work, continuing education, or training experiences in environmental health, or related to job and contributes to current or future PHS assignments.	Course work, continuing education, or training experiences in environmental health, or related to job and contributes to current or future PHS assignments.  Recent trainings and experiences should support development of leadership and management skills.	Course work, continuing education, or training experiences in environmental health, or related to job and contributes to current or future PHS assignments.  Recent trainings and experiences should support development of leadership and management skills.	
	<ul> <li>Examples include, but are not limited to: Health Care Management, Information Systems Technology, Emergency Preparedness and Response, Management and Leadership Skills.</li> <li>Training should be documented in CE Summary in e-OPF.</li> <li>Additional Trainings should hold value to advance the mission of the agency and/or PHS. Value and purpose can be demonstrated in the COER, OS and/or CV.</li> </ul>				

3. Career Progression and Potential					
Factor	Benchmarks T-O3/P-O2	Benchmarks T-O4/P-O3	Benchmarks T-O5/P-O4	Benchmarks T-O6/P-O5/P-O6	
Pillar Assignment	Officer encumbers a position that meets one of the five pillars				
	Currently occupy a billet ≥ O-3.	Currently occupy a billet ≥ O-4.	Currently occupy a billet ≥ O-5.	Currently occupy a billet ≥ O-6.	
Billet Level		rogressively higher billets through propriately document the level of vition letters in e-OPF.		rvisory duties, in the OS, ROS,	
Assignments (Personnel Orders)	≥ 1 assignment(s) that demonstrate progressively more responsibility, ability, and independence.	≥ 2 assignments that demonstrate progressively more responsibility, ability, and independence. For example, independently conducts projects of moderate complexity with limited guidance.	≥ 3 assignments that demonstrate progressively more responsibility, ability, and independence. For example, independently performs professional tasks or provides leadership as a team or project leader.	≥ 5 assignments that demonstrate progressively more responsibility, ability, and independence. For example, considered an expert in their area of work with responsibility for independently leading projects and teams.	
	<ul> <li>Shall include programmatic reassignments within an agency as long as progressively increased responsit abilities, and independence are demonstrated.</li> <li>Shall include any detailed assignments ≥ 90 consecutive days (TDY). TDY assignments should be docume OPF and CV.</li> <li>Consider diverse assignments over career, including military, PHS or civilian service.</li> </ul>				
Geographic Mobility	≤ 1 permanent change of station (PCS) excluding original call to active duty.	≥ 1 permanent changes of station PCS excluding original call to active duty.	≥ 2 permanent changes of station PCS excluding original call to active duty.	≥ 3 permanent changes of station PCS excluding original call to active duty.	
Collateral Duties (Duties not covered by billet)	Collateral duties are expected, which may or may not relate to environmental health, but support PHS, agency, and program initiatives.	Collateral duties are expected, which may or may not relate to environmental health, but support PHS, agency, and program initiatives.	Collateral duties are expected, which may or may not relate to environmental health, but support PHS, agency, and program initiatives.	Collateral duties are expected, which may or may not relate to environmental health, but support PHS, agency, and program initiatives. At least one collateral duty at the senior/national level with a large-scale leadership role.	
	Lead/Member, Information Agency Mentor, etc.	not limited to: PHS or Agency En Technology Duties, Training Offi documented in CV, OS, ROS, CC	cer/ Coordinator Duties, JR CO	STEP Preceptor, EHO or	

Factor	Benchmarks P-O2	Benchmarks T-O4/P-O3	Benchmarks T-O5/P-O4	Benchmarks T-O6/P-O5/P-O6
Honor/Integrity/Duty	Displaying honor and integrity as an officer.	Displaying honor and integrity as an officer.	Displaying honor and integrity as an officer.	Displaying honor and integrity as an officer.
As a USPHS Officer  o Honor and integrity are the consistent regard for the highest standards of	Completes mandatory Commissioned Corps (CC) training.	Completes mandatory CC training.  Officer participates in	Completes mandatory CC training.  Officer participates in	Completes mandatory CC training.  Officer participates in
behaviors and the refusal to violate one's personal and professional codes	Officer participates in personal and professional duties to meet obligations.	personal and professional duties to meet obligations.	personal and professional duties to meet obligations.	personal and professional duties to meet obligations.
<ul> <li>Duty is the free acceptance of a commitment to service</li> </ul>	No disciplinary or adverse actions; officer in good standing.	No disciplinary or adverse actions; officer in good standing.	No disciplinary or adverse actions; officer in good standing.	No disciplinary or adverse actions; officer in good standing.
Officer CC Contributions	Appointed member or volunteer.  Evidence that CC and collateral activities impact and contribute to the PHS mission at the local level.	Appointed member or volunteer.  Evidence that CC and collateral activities impact and contribute to the PHS mission at the local level.	Appointed member or volunteer who leads subcommittee or demonstrates substantive role.  Evidence that CC and	Appointed member or volunteer who serves as Chair or Vice-Chair, or leads subcommittees, or demonstrates substantive role.
Significant contributions are based on information contained in the Officer's Statement, CV, and documented in letters of appreciation. Examples may include:			collateral activities impact and contribute to the PHS mission at the regional level.	Evidence that CC and collateral activities impact and contribute to the PHS mission at the regional, national or international level.
	<ul><li>(MOLC), COSTEP pred</li><li>Leadership positions in</li></ul>	re not limited to: Junior Officers ceptor, local or national COA lea	Advisory Group (JOAG), Minority adership positions, EHO Profession ganizations. Examples include by	onal Advisory Committee, etc.
Recruitment Activities		Documented recruitment activities.	Documented recruitment activities.	Documented recruitment activities.

4. Pro	4. Professional Contributions and Services to the PHS Commissioned Corps (Officership)				
Factor	Benchmarks P-O2	Benchmarks T-O4/P-O3	Benchmarks T-O5/P-O4	Benchmarks T-O6/P-O5/P-O6	
Mentoring	Participates as a protégé in	Participates as a protégé in	Participates as a primary or	Participates as a primary	
	regular one-on-one or group	regular one-on-one or group	supportive mentor in regular	mentor in regular one-on-	
<ul> <li>Professional</li> </ul>	mentoring activities.	mentoring activities.	one-on-one or group	one or group mentoring	
contributions			mentoring activities. Seeks	activities. Seeks mentors	
Commitment to professional	Active member at the local,	Active member at the local,	mentors within peers or	within peers or higher level.	
development and officer	level.	regional, levels.	higher level.	Completes of supplies anton	
visibility, <i>i.e. while in</i>			Completes of supplies and a	Completes a formal mentor	
uniform. Significant			Completes a formal mentor	assignment verified via letter	
contributions are based on			assignment verified via letter	from PAC, Advisory Group,	
information contained in the CV, and documented in letters			from PAC, Advisory Group, Agency leadership, etc.	Agency leadership, etc.	
of appreciation, awards, etc.			Agency leadership, etc.	Recruit, train, support and	
Examples may include:			Recruits other mentors to	manage other mentors for	
Examples may include.			support professional	the professional	
<ul> <li>Membership/Involvement</li> </ul>			development of peers.	development of other	
in Professional, Uniformed			development of peers.	officers.	
Service, and Specialty			Active member at the	omeers.	
Organizations			regional, or national, or	Active member at the	
Mentoring junior officers in			levels.	national or international	
the EHOPAC mentoring			10.000	levels.	
program, and mentoring in			Serves as contributing		
Officer's Agency			member to the organization	Serves in a leadership role	
assignments. Includes			through a committee or	in the organization such as	
informal PHS junior officer			subcommittee.	subcommittee Chair or Chair	
and peer-to-peer				of the organization.	
mentoring.					
	Participation at local and	Presentations and/or	Presentations and/or	Presentations and/or	
	regional meetings or activities	outreach at local and	outreach regional meetings or	outreach regional, national	
	of professional organizations.	regional meetings or	activities of professional	or international meetings or	
		activities of professional	organizations.	activities of professional	
		organizations.		organizations.	
Presentations and Outreach			Evidence of greater visibility		
			in promoting the Corps to	Sought out by meeting	
			broader audiences.	planners for presentations	
				with evidence of greater	
				impact in support of	
<u> </u>				Corps/Agency missions.	

<sup>\*</sup> Temporary O2 and O3 promotions for all categories and Temporary O4 promotions for the Medical and Dental Categories are determined by an administrative file review as outlined in CCI 332.01; officers are encouraged to use the Factors and Benchmarks listed for T-O4/P-O2 and O3 for career development purposes.

		5. Readiness		
Factor	Benchmarks P-O2	Benchmarks T-O4/P-O3	Benchmarks T-O5/P-O4	Benchmarks T-O6/P-O5/P-O6
	Officer meets and maintains	Officer meets and maintains	Officer meets and maintains	Officer meets and maintains
Readiness	Basic Readiness Standards.	Basic Readiness Standards.	Basic Readiness Standards.	Basic Readiness Standards.
Reduilless	Note: Officers may submit a request for a temporary medical waiver to the Medical Affairs Branch for medical issues that			
would prevent an officer from achieving or maintaining readiness status.				